

Shinichiro Azuma: A Criminological and Psychological Profile of the Kobe Child Murderer

I. Profile Summary: Shinichiro Azuma (元少年A)

1.1. Confirmation of Identity and Case Association

The perpetrator of the 1997 Kobe Child Murders, a case that profoundly shocked Japanese society and is known formally as the *Kōbe renzoku jidō sasshō jiken* (神戸連続児童殺傷事件), has been identified as Shinichiro Azuma (東 真一郎).¹ At the time of the offenses, Azuma was 14 years old. In accordance with Japanese law, which strictly protects the identities of juvenile offenders, he was referred to in all official legal proceedings and most media coverage by the codename "Boy A" (少年A).² His real name remained officially unconfirmed for years until it was eventually published by the tabloid magazine

Shūkan Post following the controversial release of his autobiography in 2015.¹

During his crimes, Azuma created and adopted the theatrical alias "Seito Sakakibara" (酒鬼薔薇 聖斗). This name, which he used in taunting notes left with his victims and sent to news organizations, was constructed from a complex series of kanji characters that can be interpreted to mean alcohol, devil, rose, saint, and fight.¹ This self-bestowed moniker was a key element of the "theater of crime" he constructed, designed to instill public fear and challenge law enforcement.

1.2. Synopsis of Offenses and Legal Outcome

In the spring of 1997, Azuma committed a series of violent attacks in Suma, Kobe. These acts culminated in the murders of two children: 10-year-old Ayaka Yamashita and 11-year-old Jun Hase. In addition to the two homicides, he was responsible for the non-fatal assaults of three other young girls.¹ The extreme brutality of the crimes, particularly the decapitation of Jun Hase and the public display of his head, horrified the nation.

Azuma was arrested by Hyogo Prefectural Police on June 28, 1997, and subsequently confessed to the murders and assaults.¹ Given his status as a minor, he was not subjected to a criminal trial in an adult court. Instead, his case was handled by the Kobe Family Court. Following psychiatric evaluations, the court determined that he required intensive mental health treatment and sentenced him to an indeterminate period in a medical reformatory (*iryō shōnen'in*).³

After several years of treatment, Azuma was granted provisional release in March 2004. His full release from state custody followed on January 1, 2005.¹ In June 2015, under the name "Former Boy A," he published an autobiography titled

Zekka (絶歌), which translates to "Desperate Song" or "Final Song." The book, which recounted his crimes in graphic detail, was published without the consent of the victims' families and sparked a major public controversy, reigniting national debate over the case.¹

II. Pathological Development and Early Warning Signs

2.1. Family Background and Environmental Factors

Analysis of Azuma's background reveals a home environment characterized by strictness and emotional distance, which likely contributed to the development of his pathology. As the eldest son in the family, he was subjected to a more rigid upbringing than his siblings, which reportedly included instances of physical punishment.¹⁰ This disciplinary style fostered a significant emotional gap between him and his parents, preventing the formation of a close, supportive bond.

Compounding this emotional distance was the immense academic pressure placed upon him

by his mother. She harbored high expectations for his school performance, a focus that persisted even after social workers had explicitly warned her about his deteriorating and unstable mental state.¹⁰ This combination of a cold disciplinary environment and intense academic pressure, devoid of emotional warmth and understanding, created a fertile ground for suppressed anger, resentment, and psychological turmoil. Unable to express these feelings constructively, Azuma's internal world became a breeding ground for violent fantasy and rage.

2.2. The Psychological Catalyst: A Grandmother's Death

A pivotal event in Azuma's early life, identified by him and analysts as a key turning point, was the death of his grandmother when he was 11 years old.¹⁰ He had been closer to her than to any other family member, and her loss precipitated a profound psychological crisis. However, his reaction was not one of typical grief. Instead of processing the loss through sadness or mourning, he developed a morbid and obsessive curiosity about the nature of death itself. He was consumed by the question of how a living being could simply cease to exist.¹⁰

This response points to a pre-existing psychological vulnerability or a developmental abnormality that prevented him from processing loss in a normative manner. The death of his grandmother did not merely sadden him; it triggered a pathological quest to understand, deconstruct, and ultimately control the process of mortality. This obsession became the driving force behind his first "experiments" with killing, marking the definitive start of his violent trajectory. The event served as a catalyst, transforming a latent psychological disturbance into an active and escalating pattern of destructive behavior.

III. The Escalation of Violence: A History of Animal Cruelty

Azuma's progression from a troubled adolescent to a murderer followed a classic, and deeply disturbing, trajectory of escalating violence that began with the abuse of animals. This history can be broken down into distinct phases, each demonstrating a further descent into sadism and a greater desensitization to the act of killing.

3.1. Phase 1: "Scientific" Exploration and Desensitization

His initial forays into killing were framed, in his own mind, as a form of scientific inquiry. Following his grandmother's death, he began dissecting insects and frogs, driven by his obsessive need to understand the mechanics of life and death.¹⁰ This was not the idle curiosity of a child but a focused, methodical exploration of mortality. He recounted a specific "experiment" in which he deliberately lined up frogs on a path and rode his bicycle over them, clinically observing their bodies burst. His conclusion—"So this is death"—reveals a chillingly detached and analytical mindset.¹⁰ Through these acts, he was not only seeking knowledge but was also systematically desensitizing himself to violence and the infliction of suffering, objectifying living creatures as mere subjects for his morbid studies.

3.2. Phase 2: The Fusion of Sadism and Sexual Impulse

The second phase marked a critical and sinister evolution in his pathology. As his depression and alienation deepened, his aggression escalated from small creatures to mammals. He began torturing and killing neighborhood cats and pigeons, acts that were no longer driven by a pseudo-scientific curiosity but by a burgeoning sadistic impulse.³

In his autobiography, *Zekka*, Azuma provides a stark account of this psychological shift. He explicitly connects the act of killing a cat to a powerful "sexual impulse," admitting that the violence was a source of profound psychosexual gratification.¹¹ He describes the moment of crushing a cat's face as a "victory" over the death that had so confounded him, an act that provided an "ecstasy" superior to any other sensation he had known.¹¹ This admission is a clear articulation of the development of sexual sadism, where the infliction of pain and the act of killing become inextricably linked with sexual arousal and pleasure. At this stage, violence had transformed from an intellectual exercise into a source of deep-seated, visceral fulfillment.

3.3. Phase 3: Deviance and Defiance in a School Setting

As his private world of animal torture intensified, his aggression began to manifest in his social environment. He engaged in acts of low-level violence and deviance at school, such as placing thumbtacks on teachers' chairs and throwing scissors at the backs of female classmates.¹⁰

These actions served as a way to test boundaries and exert power in a controlled setting.

More revealing than the acts themselves was his response when confronted. He reportedly told teachers, "Anyway, I'm still a child, even if I kill someone, I won't go to jail".¹⁰ This statement demonstrates a sophisticated and chilling awareness of his legal status as a minor. He understood that his age afforded him a degree of impunity, a cognitive distortion that he used to justify his behavior and that emboldened him to continue his escalation. He saw the juvenile justice system not as a moral or legal deterrent, but as a shield that enabled his violent impulses.

The following table provides a structured timeline of this behavioral escalation, codifying the "graduation hypothesis" where offenders progress from violence against animals to violence against humans.

| Timeframe (Approx.) | Event / Behavior | Psychological Significance |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Age 11 (c. 1993) | Death of grandmother. | Pathological catalyst; grief manifests as morbid curiosity about death. ¹⁰ |
| Age 11-12 | Dissection of insects and frogs. | "Scientific" exploration of mortality; objectification of life and desensitization to killing. ¹⁰ |
| Age 12-14 | Torture and killing of cats and pigeons. | Fusion of violence with sexual gratification; development of sexual sadism and a sense of power/control over death. ¹⁰ |
| Age 13-14 | Minor assaults at school (thumbtacks, scissors). | Testing of social and legal boundaries; public expression of aggression. ¹⁰ |
| Age 14 (1996-1997) | Began carrying a knife to school. | Weapon becomes a tool for emotional regulation and a symbol of power; preparation for greater violence. ¹⁰ |

| | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Age 14 (Feb-Mar 1997) | Attacks on elementary school girls. | Transition from animal to human victims; application of "experiments" on people. ¹ |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|

IV. From Animal Cruelty to Human Violence: The "Sacred Experiments"

4.1. The Bridge to Homicide: Non-Fatal Attacks

The clear and final transition from animal cruelty to interpersonal violence occurred in early 1997. These attacks were the direct application of the methods and mindset he had honed through torturing animals, now directed at human victims. On February 10, 1997, he assaulted two elementary school girls with a hammer, marking his first significant attack on people.³

This was followed by a more brutal series of assaults on March 16, 1997. He first lured 10-year-old Ayaka Yamashita to a secluded spot and struck her with a hammer, inflicting fatal injuries. Shortly thereafter, in the same area, he stabbed another young girl with a knife.¹ These attacks were not random acts of rage but were, in his distorted view, the next logical step in his ongoing project. His diary entry from that period reveals his chillingly clear intent: "I carried out sacred experiments today to confirm how fragile human beings are".¹ He viewed his human victims with the same detachment as the insects and cats that preceded them—as subjects for his "sacred" study of destruction.

4.2. Stated Motivations and Dehumanization

Azuma's stated motivations for his crimes were rooted in a profound nihilism and a sadistic desire for stimulation. He confessed that he "desperately want[ed] to see people die" and that the act of murder was a "thrill" for him.¹ In letters he sent to the media, he wrote that it was "only when I kill that I am liberated from the constant hatred that I suffer and that I am able to attain peace".¹ This indicates that violence had become his primary, and perhaps only, method of emotional regulation—a way to transform his internal pain and rage into external acts of

destruction that gave him a sense of power and release.

A crucial psychological mechanism that enabled these acts was the profound dehumanization of his victims. He frequently referred to people as "vegetables," a term he claimed to have learned from his parents, who had advised him to picture crowds as vegetables to overcome nervousness.¹ Azuma twisted this mundane advice into a core component of his violent ideology. By reducing human beings to inanimate objects, he stripped them of their personhood, their capacity for suffering, and their right to life. This cognitive distortion was not a byproduct of his violence but a necessary precondition for it, allowing him to inflict unimaginable suffering without the interference of empathy or remorse.¹⁰

V. Contextual Overview: The Kobe Child Murders (酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件)

5.1. The Murder of Jun Hase

The culmination of Azuma's escalating violence was the murder of 11-year-old Jun Hase, an acquaintance who was friends with his younger brother.¹² On May 24, 1997, Azuma lured Hase to a secluded hilltop with the promise of showing him a turtle. There, he strangled the boy to death and hid his body.¹

This act alone was horrific, but what followed demonstrated the full extent of his sadistic and sexualized pathology. Azuma returned to the body the next day, where he decapitated it with a handsaw he had brought from home.³ Psychiatric reports compiled after his arrest indicated that he then engaged in acts of necrophilia and cannibalism, including drinking the victim's blood.³ These acts represent the apex of his sexual sadism, where the boundaries between violence, death, and sexual gratification were completely erased. The mutilation of the body was not an afterthought but an integral part of the ritualistic fulfillment of his darkest fantasies.

5.2. Theatricality and Public Challenge

Azuma was not content to commit his crimes in secret. He craved an audience and sought to transform his murders into a public spectacle. On the morning of May 27, 1997, he placed Jun Hase's severed head at the front gate of Tomogaoka Junior High School, ensuring it would be discovered by students and staff arriving for the day.¹

To amplify the terror and cement his infamy, he stuffed a note, written in red ink, into the victim's mouth. The note was a direct challenge to the police and society at large, declaring, "This is the beginning of the game... Try to stop me if you can you stupid police".¹ He signed it with his chosen alias, "Sakakibara Seito." He later sent a longer, 1,400-word letter to the

Kobe Shinbun newspaper, further taunting authorities and lashing out at the educational system he blamed for making him an "invisible person".¹ This deliberate theatricality, the taunting notes, and the desire for public recognition are all hallmarks of his profound narcissism and his need to assert his existence and power through acts of extreme violence.

VI. Criminological and Psychiatric Profile

6.1. Official Psychiatric Evaluation: Sexual Sadism

The official psychiatric evaluation conducted during the family court proceedings identified the core of Azuma's pathology as **Sexual Sadism** (*seiteki sadizumu*).⁶ This diagnosis is defined by a recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the physical or psychological suffering of another person, which is manifested in fantasies, urges, or behaviors. The evidence supporting this diagnosis in Azuma's case is overwhelming.

His own admissions in his autobiography, *Zekka*, where he explicitly links the killing of animals to sexual impulses and describes the act as a source of "ecstasy," provide a direct window into this mindset.¹¹ His statements to investigators that murder provided a "thrill" and a sense of "liberation" further corroborate this.¹ Most definitively, the ritualistic and sexualized nature of Jun Hase's murder—including the post-mortem mutilation, necrophilia, and blood-drinking—are classic manifestations of extreme sexual sadism.³ The psychiatric evaluation summarized this by noting an "undifferentiated combination of sexual impulses and aggression" as a central feature of his psychological makeup.¹⁰

6.2. The Diagnostic Question: Pervasive Developmental Disorder (PDD)

While Sexual Sadism was the primary official diagnosis, subsequent analyses have raised the possibility of an underlying, undiagnosed condition: a Pervasive Developmental Disorder (PDD), such as Asperger's syndrome or another condition on the autism spectrum.¹⁴ This hypothesis is not based on a formal clinical diagnosis of Azuma but on a retrospective analysis of his documented behaviors and thought patterns.

Characteristics associated with PDD include profound difficulties with social-emotional reciprocity, a lack of empathy, obsessive and narrowly focused interests, and a rigid, literal interpretation of the world.¹⁵ These traits map closely onto Azuma's known history. His inability to process his grandmother's death through normal grieving, instead turning it into an obsessive "scientific" project, reflects the rigid and atypical cognitive style seen in some developmental disorders. His profound lack of empathy, demonstrated by his dehumanization of victims as "vegetables," aligns with the impaired social understanding characteristic of PDD. While PDD itself is not a direct cause of violent behavior, its presence can create significant vulnerabilities that, when combined with other risk factors like a hostile environment and sadistic tendencies, can contribute to a catastrophic outcome.¹⁸

The two diagnoses—Sexual Sadism and PDD—are not mutually exclusive. In fact, they may be tragically complementary. The PDD could be understood as the underlying psychological architecture that shaped his development. His impaired ability to process emotions and connect with others created an internal void and a profound sense of alienation. His obsessive tendencies provided the mechanism for his fixation on death and violence. The Sexual Sadism then emerged to fill that void, providing the intense stimulation, gratification, and sense of power that his disordered mind craved. The PDD may have created the criminogenic soil in which the seeds of his sexual sadism could take root and flourish into a monstrous and deadly form. This synthesis offers a more complete and nuanced psychological portrait than either diagnosis considered in isolation.

6.3. A Critical Reading of *Zekka*: The Perpetrator as Unreliable Narrator

Azuma's 2015 autobiography, *Zekka*, must be approached with extreme critical caution. While it offers a first-person perspective, it is the account of a highly manipulative and narcissistic individual, making him a profoundly unreliable narrator. Reviews of the book consistently point to its self-indulgent and pretentious tone, with many readers and critics noting a complete

lack of genuine remorse.¹⁹

Throughout the book, Azuma frames himself as a tragic, misunderstood figure—a "monster" who was a product of his circumstances and his own internal torment.²⁰ He focuses heavily on his own suffering, both before the crimes and during his incarceration and life after release. This narrative of self-pity, combined with the fact that the book was published without the consent of, and to the great pain of, the victims' families, strongly suggests a continued and profound lack of empathy.²⁰

Rather than being a sincere attempt at atonement, *Zekka* can be analyzed as a continuation of the theatricality that defined his crimes. The taunting notes and public display of his victim's head were acts designed to seize public attention and control a narrative. The publication of his memoir, nearly two decades later, can be seen as a final performance in this theater of crime—an attempt to once again place himself at the center of the story, define his own legacy, and cast himself as the complex, suffering protagonist rather than the brutal perpetrator of heinous acts.²¹

VII. Aftermath: Societal and Legal Impact

7.1. Public Shock and the Catalyst for Legal Reform

The Kobe Child Murders sent an unprecedented shockwave across Japan. The combination of the victims' young age, the perpetrator's youth, and the sheer, unmitigated brutality of the acts shattered the nation's perception of itself as a safe society and ignited a fierce, widespread debate on the nature of juvenile crime, the adequacy of the mental health system, and the philosophical underpinnings of the Japanese Juvenile Law (*Shōnen-hō*).³ The case became a focal point for public anxiety and anger, with many citizens and politicians calling for a fundamental re-evaluation of a legal system they felt was too lenient and protective of young offenders who commit adult-level crimes. This public outcry was the direct catalyst for significant legal reform.¹³

7.2. The 2000 Amendment to the Juvenile Law

In response to the intense public pressure generated by the Kobe case and other high-profile juvenile crimes, the Diet of Japan passed a major amendment to the Juvenile Law in 2000. This reform marked a significant philosophical shift in the country's approach to juvenile justice, moving away from a purely rehabilitative model toward one that incorporated greater accountability and punishment for serious offenses.

The most impactful change was the lowering of the age of criminal responsibility for the most severe crimes from 16 to 14 years old.²³ This meant that children as young as Azuma could, for the first time, be subject to criminal prosecution as adults. The amendment also established the principle of "reverse referral" (

gyakusō), making it a near-certainty that offenders aged 16 or older who commit intentional homicide would have their cases transferred from family court to the public prosecutor to be tried in an adult criminal court.²³ Furthermore, the reforms acknowledged the suffering of victims by granting them and their families unprecedented new rights, including the right to attend juvenile court hearings and the right to access certain case records, giving them a voice in a process from which they had previously been excluded.¹³ The Kobe case, therefore, did not just leave a scar on the national psyche; it fundamentally reshaped the legal landscape for juvenile justice in Japan for decades to come.

The following table summarizes the concrete legal changes spurred by the public reaction to Azuma's crimes.

| Legal Area | Pre-Kobe Status (Before 2000) | Post-Kobe Change (2000 Amendment) | Rationale / Impact |
|--|--|--|--|
| Age of Criminal Responsibility | Criminal prosecution was limited to offenders aged 16 and older. | Lowered to 14 years and older for serious criminal offenses. ²³ | To ensure that perpetrators of heinous crimes, regardless of being 14 or 15, could be held criminally accountable. |
| Trial Jurisdiction for Serious Crimes | Family court judges had broad discretion to keep cases within the juvenile system or | Introduced the principle of mandatory "reverse referral" (<i>gyakusō</i>) for homicide cases | To ensure that juveniles who commit the most serious crimes face the possibility of |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | refer them to adult court. | committed by offenders aged 16 or older. ²³ | adult criminal sanctions, reflecting the gravity of their offenses. |
| Victim and Family Rights | Victims and their families had very limited rights to participate in or be informed about juvenile court proceedings. | Granted victims and families the right to attend hearings and view case records. ¹³ | To acknowledge the immense suffering of victims, increase transparency, and give them a meaningful role in the justice process. |

VIII. References

¹ Wikipedia. (n.d.).

Kobe child murders.

¹ Wikipedia. (n.d.).

Kobe child murders.

² Cite. (n.d.). Author profile: 元少年A.

³ Wikipedia. (n.d.). 酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件.

⁴ Wikipedia. (n.d.). 酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件.

⁵ Eslite. (n.d.). Product page for

絶歌.

⁸ TAAZE. (n.d.). Product page for

絶歌: 日本神戸連続児童殺傷事件(新版).

⁹ Eslite. (n.d.). Product page for

絶歌: 日本神戸連続児童殺傷事件(新版).

¹² Hyogo Prefectural Board of Education. (n.d.). 1997年の日本の出来事.

⁶ YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

¹⁴ East Press. (n.d.). Product page for a book analyzing Boy A.

⁴ Wikipedia. (n.d.). 酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件.

¹³ YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

25 KTV. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件から25年.

23 Keiwa College. (n.d.).

少年法改正について.

27 Kobe Leo Law Office. (n.d.).

少年法の改正について.

12 Hyogo Prefectural Board of Education. (n.d.). 1997年の日本の出来事.

⁶ YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

19 Rakuten. (n.d.). Reviews for

絶歌.

20 BookLive. (n.d.). Reviews for

絶歌.

21 DMM. (n.d.). Spoilers/Reviews for

絶歌.

13 YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

29 National Police Agency. (n.d.).

犯罪被害者等施策.

24 Core. (n.d.).

少年法改正に関する一考察.

21 DMM. (n.d.). Spoilers/Reviews for

絶歌.

20 BookLive. (n.d.). Reviews for

絶歌.

19 Rakuten. (n.d.). Reviews for

絶歌.

22 Magazine K. (2015).

『絶歌』をどう読むか.

15 Courts of Japan. (n.d.). Hanrei PDF document.

³⁰ R-GSCEFS. (n.d.).

少年法改正と犯罪被害者遺族.

23 Keiwa College. (n.d.).

少年法改正について.

14 East Press. (n.d.). Product page for a book analyzing Boy A.

¹³ YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

18 Waseda University Repository. (n.d.).

少年犯罪における発達障害の「位置づけ」に関する研究.

16 Okayama City. (n.d.).

発達障害と司法.

17 Tokyo Bar Association. (n.d.).

発達障害と少年事件.

11 NFU Repository. (n.d.).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件の加害者の手記.

7 TCUE. (2006).

加害少年の親.

24 Core. (n.d.).

少年法改正に関する一考察.

12 Hyogo Prefectural Board of Education. (n.d.). 1997年の日本の出来事.

⁶ YouTube. (2022).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件.

31 NIER. (n.d.).

少年法の改正.

28 Noguchi Law Office. (n.d.).

少年法「改正」の歴史.

26 JFBA. (2006).

少年法「改正」に関する意見書.

10 iFeng. (2023).

日本“酒鬼薔薇”殺人案.

11 NFU Repository. (n.d.).

神戸連続児童殺傷事件の加害者の手記.

20 BookLive. (n.d.). Reviews for

絶歌.

Works cited

1. Kobe child murders - Wikipedia, accessed September 8, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kobe_child_murders
2. 前少年A - 城邦讀書花園, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.cite.com.tw/publisher/authors/26738>
3. 酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件 - 维基百科, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://zh.wikipedia.org/zh-cn/%E9%85%92%E9%AC%BC%E8%96%94%E8%96%87%E8%81%96%E6%96%97%E4%BA%8B%E4%BB%B6>
4. 酒鬼薔薇聖斗事件- 维基百科, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://zh.wikipedia.org/zh-tw/%E9%85%92%E9%AC%BC%E8%96%94%E8%96%87%E8%81%96%E6%96%97%E4%BA%8B%E4%BB%B6>

5. 絶歌: 日本神戸連続児童殺傷事件| 誠品線上, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.eslite.com/product/1001110932507833>
6. 神戸連続児童殺傷事件「少年A」当時の捜査一課長が語る25年前の捜査の真実。淳君 - YouTube, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pDX52ccC828>
7. 加害少年の親, accessed September 8, 2025, <http://www1.tcue.ac.jp/home1/takamatsu/103542/2006.10.27.htm>
8. 絶歌: 日本神戸連続児童殺傷事件(新版) - Taaze 読冊生活, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.taaze.tw/products/11100955327.html>
9. 絶歌: 日本神戸連続児童殺傷事件(新版)| 誠品線上, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.eslite.com/product/1001110932682060610009>
10. 酒鬼薔薇聖斗: 杀害2名、重伤3名、初中少年拿儿童做实验_凤凰网, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://i.ifeng.com/c/8Pw5n1gtmU3>
11. 神戸児童連続殺傷事件, 加害者Aの更生過程の考察 No. 2 The Kobe ..., accessed September 8, 2025, https://nfu.repo.nii.ac.jp/record/2979/files/kodomo10-03_kimura.pdf
12. その被害者はいずれも小学生である。通り魔的犯行や遺体の損壊が伴ったこと、特に少年の頭部が「声明文」とともに中学校の正門前に置かれたこと、地元新聞社に「挑戦状」が郵送されたことなど、強い暴力性が伴う特異な部分が多い事件である。、accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.hyogo-c.ed.jp/~shichi-hs/sakuhin33/1997/nihonn1997.html>
13. 被害者の人生最後「生きた証し」をなぜ捨てた？ずさんな管理。遺族が語る記録の意味とは？#ドキュメンタリー - YouTube, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zzBs5YOZPKg>
14. 元少年Aの殺意は消えたのか 神戸連続児童殺傷事件 手記に見る「贖罪教育」の現実, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.eastpress.co.jp/goods/detail/9784781613567>
15. 判決要旨 - 裁判所, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.courts.go.jp/app/files/hanrei_jp/997/033997_hanrei.pdf
16. 第16回 日本司法精神医学会 - 岡山市, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.city.okayama.jp/shisei/cmsfiles/contents/0000047/47995/gakkai2.pdf>
17. 広汎性発達障害の少年の事件の付添人活動 - 東京弁護士会, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.toben.or.jp/message/libra/pdf/2007_10/p26.pdf
18. 少年犯罪における発達障害の「位置づけ」に関する研究, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.i-repository.net/il/user_contents/02/G0000632repository/khk2015014.pdf
19. 【楽天市場】絶歌 神戸連続児童殺傷事件 [元少年A](楽天ブックス)| みんなのレビュー・口コミ, accessed September 8, 2025, https://review.rakuten.co.jp/review/item/1/213310_17478256/1.1/
20. 絶歌のレビュー【あらすじ・感想・ネタバレ】 - 漫画・ラノベ(小説 ..., accessed September 8, 2025, https://booklive.jp/review/list/title_id/372710/vol_no/001
21. 【ネタバレ】絶歌の感想・評価 / ネタバレレビュー一覧 - 文芸・ラノベ - 無料で試し読み!, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://book.dmm.com/product/620374/b202aoota00648/spoiler/>

22. 元少年A『絶歌』の出版が投げかけたもの - マガジン航[kō:], accessed September 8, 2025, <https://magazine-k.jp/2015/07/13/zekka/>
23. 少年法について, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.keiwa-c.ac.jp/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/veritas09-07.pdf>
24. 少年法および少年犯罪の報道と人権に関する検証と一考察 - CORE, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/52039620.pdf>
25. 50～3:45 再放送罪の行方 ～神戸連続児童殺傷事件 被害者家族の25年 - カンテレ, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.ktv.jp/document/220520.html>
26. 「改正」少年法・5年後見直しに関する意見書, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.nichibenren.or.jp/library/pdf/document/opinion/2006/060316_3_1.pdf
27. 「少年法」改正の答申案(18歳・19歳の厳罰化), accessed September 8, 2025, https://kobe-t-leo.com/blog/amendment_to_shonen_law.html
28. 少年法「改悪」の歴史 - 野口法律事務所, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://noguchi-lo.jp/youngpeople/201111.pdf>
29. 中央イベント 基調講演 | 平成30年度「犯罪被害者週間」啓発事業実施結果 - 警察庁, accessed September 8, 2025, https://www.npa.go.jp/hanzaihigai/kou-kei/houkoku_h30/chuou_giji_kicho.html
30. 少年法改正をめぐる犯罪被害者遺族の言明, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.r-gscefs.jp/pdf/ce04/om03b.pdf>
31. 第8章 少年非行等, accessed September 8, 2025, <https://www.nier.go.jp/shido/centerhp/1syu-kaitei/1syu-kaitei090330/1syu-kaitei.8hikou.pdf>